

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/812,177

Applicant(s)

INOUE, KEISUKE

Examiner

Saif A. Alhija

Art Unit

2128

**All Participants:**(1) Saif A. Alhija.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(3) Andrew Zidel; Reg No. 45,256.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2008

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicants representative, Andrew Zidel, Reg No. 45,256, to allow Applicants the opportunity to provide a preliminary amendment to resolve the undue multiplicity. Applicants representative elected claims 1-12, 24-34, and 53-63 to be examined. .